arXiv:1412.2660v2 [hep-th] 10 Aug 2015

Moduli Spaces of Lumps on Real Projective Space

Steffen Krusch[*] and *Abera A. Muhamed*[†]
School of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Sciences
University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

August 11, 2015

Abstract

Harmonic maps that minimise the Dirichlet energy in their homotopy classes are known as lumps. Lump solutions on real projective space are explicitly given by rational maps subject to a certain symmetry requirement. This has consequences for the behaviour of lumps and their symmetries. An interesting feature is that the moduli space of charge three lumps is a 7-dimensional manifold of cohomogeneity one which can be described as a one-parameter family of symmetry orbits of D_2 symmetric maps. In this paper, we discuss the charge three moduli spaces of lumps from two perspectives: discrete symmetries of lumps and the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. We then calculate the metric and find explicit formulas for various geometric quantities. We also discuss the implications for lump decay.

1 Introduction

Rational maps of degree N are solutions of the Bogomolny equations of the $O(3)$ sigma model with topological charge N and energy $2\pi|N|$. Belavin and Polyakov [18] studied the Bogomolny equations by change of variables and explored the Lagrangian density of the classically equivalent $\mathbb{C}P^1$ sigma model. The algebraic topology of rational maps and the construction of harmonic maps between surfaces have been studied by Segal [22] and by Eells and Lemaire [4], respectively. Speight and Sadun [20] showed the moduli space for a compact Riemann surface is geodesically incomplete. The metric on the space of holomorphic maps is given

[*]S.Krusch@kent.ac.uk
[†]A.A.Muhamed@kent.ac.uk

by restricting the kinetic energy where the moduli space coordinates are allowed to depend on time. This metric is Kähler [19]. The low energy dynamics of a $\mathbb{C}P^1$ lump on the space-time $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ [26] and the geometry of a space of rational maps of degree N [16] have been studied. The Fubini-Study metric γ_{FS} of rational maps of degree one has been studied by Krusch and Speight [10]. Here a rational map was identified with the projective equivalence classes of its coefficient such that Rat_1 is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}P^3$ which is equipped with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. Lumps can decay but it has been shown in Refs. [12, 28] that the scattering of lumps takes place before lump decay using the geodesic approximation. A head-on collision between lumps in the $2+1$-dimensional $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model on a flat torus has been studied numerically by Cova and Zakrzewski [3] and analytically by Speight [25]. Rational maps also play an important role in related models like the Skyrme model [23]. For example, the rational map ansatz [7] gives a good approximations for the symmetries of Skyrme configurations, and the Finkelstein-Rubinstein constraints can be calculated directly from this ansatz using homotopy theory [9]. We study the symmetries of rational maps to understand the geometry and dynamics of lumps.

Harmonic maps are solutions of Laplace's equation on Riemannian manifolds and are usually known as lumps. Denote by M_N the moduli space of degree N harmonic maps. M_N is a $(2N+1)$-dimensional smooth complex Riemannian manifold. There is a natural Riemannian metric on M_N, which is called the L^2 metric. The L^2 metric is well defined on a compact Riemann surface as the non-normalisable zero modes are absent [16]. For the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model, one can have an explicit expression of harmonic maps in terms of rational maps given by the ratio of two polynomials with no common roots. Lumps and their symmetries can be understood in terms of rational maps on the projective plane. Speight [24] studied the L^2 metric on the moduli spaces of degree 1 harmonic maps on both S^2 and $\mathbb{R}P^2$ and obtained an explicit formula. We focus mainly on charge three rational maps between $\mathbb{R}P^2$, acquiring a detailed and careful understanding of their L^2 geometry. The L^2 metric plays an important role in slow lump dynamics just as Samols' metric does for vortices [21].

In section 2, we discuss the $O(3)$-sigma model and the equivalent $\mathbb{C}P^1$-sigma model on a Riemann surface. In section 3, we derive families of symmetric rational maps in real projective space. We then apply the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. We also study an $SO(3)\times SO(3)$ invariant angular integral of rational maps which plays an important role in our understanding of the moduli space of charge three lumps. This leads to the proof presented in appendix A that all charge three lumps have dihedral symmetry D_2. In section 4, we discuss the moduli space metric of charge three lumps on projective space. We evaluate the metric coefficients explicitly and calculate various geometric quantities. We end with a conclusion.

2 The $O(3)$-sigma model on a Riemann surface

In $(d+1)$-dimensional space time, the non-linear sigma model on the space Σ with target space Y is defined by the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma} d\mu_g \partial_\mu \phi_l \partial^\mu \phi_m H^{lm}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $d\mu_g$ is the volume element of Σ, g is the Riemannian metric on Σ, $\partial^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu$ and $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ are the components of the inverse of the Lorentzian metric

$$\eta = dt^2 - g$$

on the space-time $\mathbb{R}\times\Sigma$, and H_{lm} is the metric on Y. The $O(3)$-sigma model is a famous example of a non-linear sigma model. In the $O(3)$-sigma model, the field can be parameterised as a three-component unit vector, $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$ with $\phi\cdot\phi = 1$, and the Lagrangian is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\Sigma} d\mu_g \partial_\mu \phi \cdot \partial^\mu \phi. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus, the target space can be identified with a Riemann sphere S^2. The sigma model can be formulated in terms of fields (ϕ_1, ϕ_2, σ) such that $\sigma = \pm\sqrt{1-(\phi_1^2+\phi_2^2)}$ where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are locally unconstrained [14]. We consider $\Sigma = S^2$ and $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}P^2$, and target space $Y = \Sigma$, but other examples have been discussed in the literature [24]. Denote by M_N the moduli space of degree N static solutions of the $O(3)$ model on Σ. The kinetic energy functional induces a natural metric γ on M_N which is a finite dimensional, smooth Riemannian manifold. The homotopy classes of a continuous map ϕ, by the Hopf degree theorem [2], are labelled by the topological degree of ϕ.

In the following we consider $\Sigma = S^2$. Let R be the stereographic coordinate image of ϕ on the target space. The coordinate R is given by $R = \frac{\phi_1+i\phi_2}{1+\phi_3}$ and let the local complex coordinate be $z = x^1 + ix^2$ with complex conjugate $\bar{z} = x^1 - ix^2$. One can then explicitly express ϕ in terms of R as

$$\phi = \left(\frac{R+\bar{R}}{1+|R|^2}, \frac{-i\left(R-\bar{R}\right)}{1+|R|^2}, \frac{1-|R|^2}{1+|R|^2}\right).$$

Since $R = R(t, z, \bar{z})$ is a function of t, z and $\bar{z}$, the Lagrangian (2.2) becomes

$$L = \int_{S^2} dS \frac{\partial_\mu R \partial^\mu \bar{R}}{(1+|R|^2)^2}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mu = t, z, \bar{z}$. This Lagrangian (2.2) is referred to as the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ sigma model, and it is equivalent to the $O(3)$ sigma model. The $\mathbb{C}P^1$ sigma model in $d = 2+1$ dimensions is a non-linear field theory possessing topological solitons, called lumps.

For $\Sigma = S^2$, the energy E and the topological charge N are given by

$$E = 2\int \frac{(|\partial_z R|^2 + |\partial_{\bar{z}} R|^2)(1+|z|^2)^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2} \frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$N = \frac{1}{\pi}\int \frac{(|\partial_z R|^2 - |\partial_{\bar{z}} R|^2)(1+|z|^2)^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2} \frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\partial_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 - i\partial_2)$ and $\partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 + i\partial_2)$. Now one can show that the energy E and the topological degree N satisfy the Bogomolny bound $E \geq 2\pi N$. Equality holds if and only if the Cauchy-Riemann equation is satisfied, namely $\partial_{\bar{z}} R = 0$, whose solutions are holomorphic functions $R(z)$. We can do a similar calculation to obtain $E \geq -2\pi N$ with equality when $\partial_z R = 0$, which is satisfied by antiholomorphic functions $R(\bar{z})$. In summary, the energy E is minimised to $2\pi|N|$ in each topological class by a solution of the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\bar{z}} R = 0 & \text{if } N \geq 0, \\ \partial_z R = 0 & \text{if } N \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality we will focus on holomorphic maps. For the complex coordinates z and R on the domain and codomain, the general degree N rational map is

$$R(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{a_1 + a_2 z + ... + a_{N+1} z^N}{a_{N+2} + a_{N+3} z + ... + a_{2N+2} z^N},$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants and a_{N+1} and a_{2N+2} do not both vanish simultaneously, and $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ have no common roots. Suppose $a_{2N+2} \neq 0$ and define a complex coordinate $b_\alpha = \frac{a_\alpha}{a_{2N+2}}, \alpha = 1, ..., 2N+1$. The inclusion property $M_N \subset \mathbb{C}P^{2N+1}$ implies that the metric γ is Kähler in this coordinate system. Thus, γ is given by

$$\gamma = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} db^\alpha \overline{db^\beta}. \tag{2.6}$$

The metric $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ can be written as

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{(1+|R|^2)^2} \frac{\partial R}{\partial b^\alpha} \overline{\frac{\partial R}{\partial b^\beta}} \frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, \tag{2.7}$$

and $R(z)$ is given by

$$R(z) = \frac{b_1 + b_2 z ... + b_{N+1} z^N}{b_{N+2} + b_{N+3} z + ... + z^N}.$$

3 Symmetries of rational maps on projective space

In the following, we derive families of symmetric rational maps in real projective space. We start by considering rational maps between Riemann spheres. A rational map $R(z)$ has a discrete symmetry if

$$M_1\left(R\left(z\right)\right) = R\left(M_2\left(z\right)\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where M_1 and M_2 are Möbius transformations. M_1 is a rotation in target space, also known as an isorotation, whereas M_2 is a rotation in space. Note if $R(z)$ has symmetry (3.1) then the rational map $\tilde{R}(z) = \hat{M}_1^{-1}(R(\hat{M}_2(z)))$ has the symmetry

$$\tilde{M}_1\left(\tilde{R}(z)\right) = \tilde{R}\left(\tilde{M}_2(z)\right),$$

where $\tilde{M}_1(z) = \hat{M}_1^{-1}\left(M_1\left(\hat{M}_1(z)\right)\right)$ and $\tilde{M}_2 = \hat{M}_2^{-1}\left(M_2\left(\hat{M}_2(z)\right)\right)$. So, by change of coordinates in domain and target, we can choose our symmetry to be around convenient axes.

We define a C_n^k symmetry of a rational map as a rotation in space by $\alpha = 2\pi/n$ followed by a rotation in target space by $\beta = k\alpha$. The following lemma classifies which C_n^k symmetries are allowed for a rational map of degree N.

Lemma 1. *A rational map of degree N can have a C_n^k symmetry if and only if $N \equiv 0 \mod n$ or $N \equiv k \mod n$.*

For a proof see [8]. Note that S^2 is the universal covering space of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ and $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ [15]. The map $\phi : S^2 \to S^2$ projects to a harmonic map $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{R}P^2 \to \mathbb{R}P^2$ if and only if $p \circ \phi = \phi \circ p$ [4, 24], where $p : S^2 \longrightarrow S^2$, $z \mapsto -\bar{z}^{-1}$ is the antipodal map in stereographic coordinates. Hence, in real projective space, rational maps must satisfy the additional constraint

$$R\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right) = -\frac{1}{\bar{R}(z)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then $R(z)$ can be written in the general form as

$$R(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k z^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k \bar{a}_{N-k} z^k}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Alternatively, we can write the general form of this rational map as

$$R(z) = e^{i\phi}\frac{(z-z_1)(z-z_2)...(z-z_N)}{(1+\bar{z}_1 z)(1+\bar{z}_2 z)...(1+\bar{z}_N z)}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$. This severely restricts the number of allowed symmetries. Denote by $\widetilde{Rat_N}$ the degree N rational maps in real projective space which is a submanifold of Rat_N.

Lemma 2. *A rational map of degree N which satisfies (3.2) can have a C_n^k symmetry if and only if $N \equiv k \mod n$. If $n \geq N$ then the rational map has D_∞ symmetry.*

Proof:
Without loss of generality we choose coordinates such that one C_n^k rotation is around the x_3-axis in space and target space and satisfies the boundary condition $R(\infty) \neq 0$.

First consider $N \equiv 0 \mod n$. Then $N = nl$, and for $0 < k < n$ a C_n^k rational map can be written as

$$R(z) = \frac{r(z^n)}{z^{n-k}s(z^n)},$$

where $r(z)$ has degree l and $s(z)$ has degree less than l. On the other hand, given $r(z)$ the constraint (3.2) fixes the coefficients of the denominator. In particular, only coefficients of powers of z^n will be non-zero. Hence, there are no solutions with $0 < k < n$, and the only possibility is

$$R(z) = \frac{r(z^n)}{s(z^n)},$$

where $r(z)$ has degree l, and the degree of $s(z)$ is less or equal to l.

Consider the case $N \equiv k \mod n$ which includes the $k = 0$ case for $N \equiv 0 \mod n$. Set $k = N \mod n$ and $s = (N - k)/n$, then the rational map is given by

$$R(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{s} a_j z^{jn+k}}{\sum_{j=0}^{s} b_j z^{jn}}. \tag{3.5}$$

The inversion symmetry (3.2) leads to the following two constraints on the coefficients

$$(-1)^{nj}\bar{b}_{s-j} = \lambda a_j \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$(-1)^{k+1}\bar{a}_{s-j}(-1)^{nj} = \lambda \bar{b}_j, \tag{3.7}$$

where λ takes account of the fact that numerator and denominator can be multiplied with a common factor. Taking the modulus, we obtain that $|\lambda| = 1$, so that $\bar{\lambda} = 1/\lambda$. By relabelling $j \mapsto s - j$, equation (3.7) becomes

$$a_j = \bar{\lambda}(-1)^{k+1+n(s-j)}\bar{b}_{s-j}. \tag{3.8}$$

This is compatible with equation (3.6) provided $ns + k = N$ is odd. For $n = N$, we obtain the map

$$R(z) = \lambda \frac{a_1 z^N + a_0}{-\bar{a}_0 z^N + \bar{a}_1}. \tag{3.9}$$

Performing a Möbius transformation in target space to remove the phase λ this is equivalent to a Möbius transformation of the axial map.

Similarly, for $n > N$, the rational map (3.5) reduces to

$$R(z) = \frac{\lambda a_0}{\bar{a}_0} z^N,$$

since in this case $N = k$ and $s = 0$. This is again the axial map. □

For $N = 1$, the only rational maps compatible with the constraint (3.2) is

$$R(z) = \frac{az + b}{-\bar{b}z + \bar{a}}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $|a|^2 + |b|^2 \neq 1$. Hence, this is isomorphic to $PU(2) \cong SO(3)$, and the moduli space for charge one is $SO(3)$, as pointed out in Ref. [24].

3.1 $N = 3$ lumps

In the following, we will discuss the case $N = 3$ in more detail. According to Lemma 2, imposing C_n symmetry with $n \geq 3$, we obtain D_∞ symmetry, given by maps of the form

$$R(z) = \frac{az^3 + b}{-\bar{b}z^3 + \bar{a}}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, and a and b are not both zero. Here the rotation axis in space has been chosen to be the x_3-axis. This choice corresponds to fixing two real parameters. Here, the symmetry is a C_3^0. Consider the case $a \neq 0$. Then the rational map (3.11) can be rewritten as

$$R(z) = \mathrm{e}^{i\psi} \frac{z^3 + c}{-\bar{c}z^3 + 1},$$

where $c = b/a$ and $e^{i\psi} = a/\bar{a}$. Hence, the moduli space of the symmetric lumps of (3.11) is parametrised by one complex number and a phase which together with the choice of axes gives real dimension 5. The moduli space can also be viewed as the orbit under rotations and isorotations of the map

$$R(z) = z^3.$$

Since, rotation and isorotations act independently apart from the axial symmetry around the third axis, the dimension of the moduli space is again 5.

The only rational maps that are compatible with a C_2 symmetry around the x_3-axis and satisfy $R(\infty) \neq 0$ are given by

$$R(z) = \frac{az^3 + bz}{\bar{b}z^2 + \bar{a}}. \tag{3.12}$$

By Möbius transformations preserving this symmetry, namely rotations around the third axis in space and target space, the rational map can be brought into the form

$$R(z) = \frac{z^3 + cz}{cz^2 + 1}, \tag{3.13}$$

such that c is real and non-negative. The surprising fact is that this map has D_2 symmetry, since it is also symmetric under

$$R\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = -\frac{1}{R\,(z)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Hence, imposing a C_2 symmetry automatically gives a family of D_2 symmetric maps. Since rotations and isorotations act independently and cannot change the magnitude of the parameter c, the moduli space of the symmetric lumps of (3.13) has real dimension 7. Another way of calculating the dimension of the family of symmetry orbits of (3.13) is as follows. A general rational map can be written as equation (3.4) for $N = 3$:

$$R(z) = \mathrm{e}^{i\phi}\frac{(z - z_1)(z - z_2)(z - z_3)}{(1 + \bar{z}_1 z)(1 + \bar{z}_2 z)(1 + \bar{z}_3 z)}. \tag{3.15}$$

When we impose symmetry under a π rotation around the x_3-axes in space followed by an isorotation around the x_3-axis in target space, one zero has to be equal to zero and the other two map into each other under $z \mapsto -z$. The symmetric rational map is then given by

$$R(z) = \mathrm{e}^{i\phi}\frac{z(z - z_1)(z + z_1)}{(1 + \bar{z}_1 z)(1 - \bar{z}_1 z)}. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence, this rational map is parametrised by $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, that is by 3 real parameters. A further 4 real parameters correspond to our choice of axes on $S^2 \times S^2$.

To list all families of degree 3 rational maps on the projective plane, we can also use the Riemann-Hurwitz formula [5]. For a degree N rational map $R(z)$ ramified at points p_i in S^2, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula is given by

$$\chi(S^2) = N\chi(S^2) - \sum_{p_i} (d_{p_i} - 1)\,, \tag{3.17}$$

where $\chi(S^2)$ is the Euler characteristic of S^2, and d_{p_i} is the ramification index of $R(z)$ at the point p_i. Thus, for $N = 3$, $\sum_{p_i}(d_{p_i} - 1) = 4$ implies that there are two possibilities. The first possibility is $d_{p_1} = 3$ which fixes $d_{p_2} = 3$ using symmetry (3.2). Hence, the first family is the symmetry orbit of rational maps z^3 which coincides with the family of maps (3.11). The second possibility is $d_{p_i} = 2$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Choosing a critical point at $z = 0$ with $R(0) = 0$ and then using Möbius transformations, we can find the family of rational maps as

$$R(z) = \frac{z^2(z-a)}{1+az}, \quad a > 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that the sign of a can be changed by $R(z) \mapsto -R(-z)$. Here rotations and isorotations act independently and cannot change the magnitude of a, hence the space is 7-dimensional. This is compatible with writing the rational map as equation (3.15).

A useful angular integral of a degree N rational map R is given by

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int \left(\frac{1+|z|^2}{1+|R|^2}\left|\frac{dR}{dz}\right|\right)^4 \frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}. \tag{3.19}$$

It can be shown that $\mathcal{I} \geq N^2$ [14]. This quantity $\mathcal{I}$ is invariant under rotations in space and rotations in target space. Therefore, it distinguishes between maps not related by the symmetry group. In Fig. 1, we display the angular integral $\mathcal{I}$ for the rational map R_c in (3.13) as a function of c. For $c = 0$, we obtain the axial map $R(z) = z^3$, for which the angular integral $\mathcal{I}$ can be evaluated explicitly to $\mathcal{I}_0 = \frac{81+16\sqrt{3}\pi}{9}$. As $c \to 1$, the integral $\mathcal{I}$ diverges as two lumps become spiky, see Fig. 2, and for $c = 1$ the rational map becomes $R(z) = z$. For $c = 3$, the angular integral $\mathcal{I}$ again takes the value $\mathcal{I}_0$. This can be understood as follows: Since the D_2 symmetry fixes the three axes x_1, x_2 and x_3, we can permute the (x_1, x_2, x_3) axes cyclically and obtain, after a suitable isorotation, another map of the form (3.13), but with a new value $\tilde{c} = \frac{3-c}{c+1}$. This relates $c = 0$ to $\tilde{c} = 3$, which is the axial map in disguise. Furthermore, the interval $0 \leq c < 1$ is mapped to $1 < \tilde{c} \leq 3$. Similarly, we can map the interval $0 \leq c < 1$ to $3 \leq \hat{c} < \infty$, where $\hat{c} = \frac{c+3}{1-c}$. Thus, the angular integral $\mathcal{I}$ of (3.13) for $0 < c < 1$ and $1 < \tilde{c} < 3$ are identical, and similarly for $3 < \hat{c} < \infty$. Hence, it is sufficient to consider the interval $0 \leq c < 1$, and its symmetry orbits in order to parametrise $\widetilde{Rat_3}$.

In appendix A we have constructed the Möbius transformations in space and target space that are needed to transform the rational map R_c in (3.13) into the rational map R_a in (3.18) for $0 < c < 1$. The key idea of the calculation is to first map the Wronskians of R_a and R_c into each other. Surprisingly, this leads to the simple equation (A.4) for a as a function of c, given by

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{c(c+3)}{1-c}}.$$



Figure 1: Plots of the angular integral $\mathcal{I}$ in (3.19) as a function of a and c for R_a and R_c, respectively.

Equation (A.4) maps $c \in [0,1)$ to $a \in [0,\infty)$, and we checked explicitly that the blue and red curves in figure 1 can be made to lie on top of each other within numerical accuracy. Hence, the moduli space of lumps of (3.13) and (3.18) parametrise the same space, and therefore (3.18) has a hidden D_2 symmetry.

The maps (3.18) and (3.13) can also be used to discuss interesting lump decay channels. First, consider maps $R_a \in \widetilde{Rat_3}$ of the form (3.18). Following the zeros and poles for $a \in [0,\infty)$, we start with the axial map with three zeros at the origin 0 and three poles at ∞. Then one zero moves from 0 to ∞ along the positive real axis while one pole moves from ∞ to 0 along the negative real axis. The zero cancels with a pole at ∞ while the pole cancels with a zero at 0.

Second, consider maps $R_c \in \widetilde{Rat_3}$ of the form (3.13), as displayed in Fig. 2: For $c = 0$ we obtain the axial maps with three zeros at the origin and three poles at ∞. For $0 < c < 1$ one zero remains fixed while one zero moves up and one moves down along the imaginary axis. Also, one pole remains fixed at ∞ while two pole travel towards 0 along the positive and negative imaginary axis, respectively. For $c = 1$ two poles and two zeros cancel. For $1 < c < \infty$ the poles move towards 0 while the poles move towards ∞ where they cancel.

3.2 $N \geq 5$ lumps

In the following we discuss the symmetries of $\widetilde{Rat_N}$ for $N \geq 5$. We first discuss the symmetry approach. Then we compare the $N = 5$ case with the results of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

According to Lemma 2, when a C_n symmetry is imposed with $n \geq N$ then the rational map has D_∞ symmetry. The moduli space of all axially symmetric rational maps of degree N is generated by rotations and isorotations of $R(z) = z^N$ and is 5-dimensional. Note that since a zero and a corresponding pole are opposite to each other, a single lump cannot decay, and the moduli space is $\widetilde{Rat_1} \cong SO(3)$. Similarly, the axial symmetry D_∞ fixes all N zeros and N poles so that axial symmetry prevents lump decay.

If we impose the symmetry C_n^k where $N = n+k$ and $0 < k < n$ then the family of orbits of such maps is a 7-dimensional space as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 1. *If $N = n + k$ with $0 < k < n$, then the moduli space of rational maps with C_n^k symmetry is 7-dimensional and has D_n symmetry.*

Proof. A rational map of degree $N = n + k$ with C_n^k symmetry is given by

$$R(z) = \frac{z^k(a_1 z^n + a_0)}{(-1)^n \bar{a}_0 z^n + \bar{a}_1}. \tag{3.20}$$

By Möbius transformation, the rational map can be brought into the form

$$R(z) = \frac{z^k(z^n + a)}{1 + (-1)^n a z^n}, \; a \in [0, \infty). \tag{3.21}$$

Furthermore, $a \neq 1$ for even n. Since a is real, the map (3.21) satisfies the C_2^1 symmetry (3.14). Hence, the moduli space of the rational map has D_n symmetry. Since rotations and isorotations act independently on (3.21) and do not change the value of a, the moduli space is 7-dimensional. □

These symmetric moduli spaces are very similar to the moduli spaces of vortex polygons in [11] and are also related to the cyclic monopole scattering in [6]. The Wronskian of (3.21) is given by

$$W(z) = z^{k-1}\left(k(-1)^n a z^{2n} + \left(n + k + (-1)^n (k-n) a^2\right) z^n + ak\right). \tag{3.22}$$

It has $k - 1$ zeros at 0 and $k - 1$ at ∞, as well as two circles around the origin that each contain n zeros. The C_n^k symmetry moves zeros on the two circles into each other keeping 0 and ∞ fixed, whereas the C_2^1 symmetries map 0 and ∞ and the two circles into each other.

In the following, we list the symmetric submanifolds for $N = 5$. The axial map $R(z) = z^5$ has D_∞ symmetry. According to proposition 1 there is a D_4 symmetric family of maps

$$R(z) = \frac{z(z^4 + a)}{1 + az^4},\ a \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty). \tag{3.23}$$

If $a = -5$, then the map has an additional C_3 symmetry, the symmetry group is enhanced to octahedral symmetry O [14] which gives rise to a 6-dimensional subspace. Proposition 1 also leads to the D_3 symmetric map

$$R(z) = \frac{z^2(z^3 + a)}{1 - az^3},\ a \in [0, \infty), \tag{3.24}$$

When imposing C_2^1 symmetry we obtain the following family of rational maps

$$R(z) = \frac{z(a_0 + a_1 z^2 + a_2 z^4)}{\bar{a}_2 - \bar{a}_1 z^2 + \bar{a}_0 z^4}. \tag{3.25}$$

This is a 9-dimensional space, and therefore a proper subspace of the 11-dimensional space $\widetilde{Rat_5}$. In general, there are no further symmetries. An example of a rational map which satisfies the D_2 symmetry is given by

$$R(z) = \frac{z(1 + iaz^2 + bz^4)}{b + iaz^2 + z^4},\ a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.26}$$

In the following, we briefly explore the Riemann-Hurwitz formula [5] for charge five lumps. Thus, for $N = 5$, $\sum_{p_i}(d_{p_i} - 1) = 8$ implies that there are five possibilities. The first possibility is $d_{p_1} = 5$ which fixes $d_{p_2} = 5$. Then we obtain the rational map z^5 which is the axially symmetry map. The second possibility is $d_{p_1} = d_{p_2} = 4$ which fix $d_{p_3} = d_{p_4} = 2$. In this case, the family of rational maps is given by

$$R(z) = \frac{z^4(z + a)}{1 - az}, \tag{3.27}$$

for $0 < a < \infty$. The Wronskian has 3 zeros at 0, three zeros at ∞ and two simple zeros. It is clear that this space is quite different from the symmetric spaces discussed earlier. The remaining possibilities are $d_{p_1} = d_{p_2} = 3$ and $d_{p_i} = 2$ for $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$, $d_{p_i} = 3$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $d_{p_i} = 2$, $i = 1, ..., 8$, which are discussed in more detail in [17].

In summary, for $N \geq 5$, there are interesting 7-dimensional spaces with D_n symmetry. However, the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem produces completely different spaces, with the exception of the axially symmetric case.

4 The moduli space $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ on $\mathbb{R}P^2$

In this section we discuss the moduli space of charge three lumps on real projective space. We calculate the metric and various geometric quantities. We first discuss maps of the form (3.13) which possess dihedral symmetry D_2. We describe the 7-dimensional family of symmetry orbits of $R_c \in \widetilde{Rat_3}$ and maps of the form (3.11) which possess axial symmetry D_∞.

Consider first the space of functions R_c given by (3.13). The Wronskian of R_c is a polynomial of degree 4 given by

$$w(z) = cz^4 + (3 - c^2)z^2 + c.$$

For $c \approx 1$, the poles and zeros of R_c come together and cancel each other, then R_c becomes a rational map of degree one which is z. For $c \approx \infty$, the poles and zeros come together and cancel each other and then R_c becomes the rational map $\frac{1}{z}$. One can see the energy density of this space in Fig. 2 that shows the energy density is symmetric at $c = 0$, $c = 1$ and $c = \infty$. The energy densities dissociate and form spikes as c approaches 1 and ∞.

Proposition 2. *$\widetilde{Rat_3}$ is a non-compact totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifold of the space of degree 3 lumps Rat_3.*

Proof. The proof can be found in Ref. [24]. □

Our next step is to compute the metric on the moduli space of Rat_3. Consider the rotation group $SO(3)$ action on the coordinate systems z and R, $R \in Rat_3$. Consider first the action $z \mapsto Uz, U \in SO(3) \cong PU(2) \cong SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$. We can expand the left invariant 1−form $U^{-1}dU$ in terms of a convenient basis of the Lie algebra $\frac{i}{2}\tau_a$, $a = 1, 2, 3$, where τ_a are Pauli matrices:

$$U^{-1}dU = \sigma \cdot \frac{i}{2}\tau_a = \sigma_1 t_1 + \sigma_2 t_2 + \sigma_3 t_3, \tag{4.1}$$

where $d\sigma_i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}\sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k$. Similarly, for the action $R \mapsto MR, M \in SO(3)$, we have an expression in the Lie algebra $\frac{i}{2}\tau_a, a = 1, 2, 3$:

$$M^{-1}dM = \eta \cdot \frac{i}{2}\tau_a = \eta_1 t_1 + \eta_2 t_2 + \eta_3 t_3, \tag{4.2}$$

where $d\eta_i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}\eta_j \wedge \eta_k$. For example, consider $\tilde{M} \in SU(2)$ defined by

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi)}\cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) & e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi-\phi)}\sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \\ -e^{\frac{i}{2}(\phi-\psi)}\sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) & e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi)}\cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$



(a) $c = 0$ (b) $c = 0.1$ (c) $c = 0.5$

(d) $c = 0.9$ (e) $c = 1$ (f) $c = 1.1$

(g) $c = 2$ (h) $c = 10$ (i) $c = \infty$

Figure 2: These figures display the energy densities of charge three lumps given by R_c in (3.13) for different values of c.

We can then see that $\tilde{M}^{-1}d\tilde{M} = \eta_1 t_1 + \eta_2 t_2 + \eta_3 t_3$ where the η_i's are computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1 &= -\sin\psi d\theta + \cos\psi \sin\theta d\phi \\ \eta_2 &= \cos\psi d\theta + \sin\psi \sin\theta d\phi \\ \eta_3 &= d\psi + \cos\theta d\phi.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $R \in SU(2)$ and $M \mapsto MR, M \in SO(3)$. Then we can find that $\sigma \mapsto \mathcal{R}\sigma$ and $\eta \mapsto \mathcal{R}\eta$, where $\mathcal{R} \in SO(3)$ with matrix component $R_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}tr(\tau_a R^\dagger \tau_b R)$. Hence both σ and η transform as $3-$vectors under rotations. One can change from the coordinate basis on $SO(3)$, $\{d\alpha, d\beta, d\gamma\}$, to the left

invariant 1-forms on $SO(3)$ which are given by (4.1) and (4.2) as before, but with the range of angles appropriate to $SO(3)$, $\alpha \in [0,\pi), \beta \in [0,2\pi), \gamma \in [0,2\pi)$.

The metric is invariant under spatial rotations and rotations in target space. Then by considering dc, σ and η, we can construct the most general metric as

$$g = A_{ij}(c)\sigma_i\sigma_j + B_i(c)\sigma_i dc + C(c)d^2c + D_{ij}(c)\eta_i\eta_j + E_i(c)\eta_i dc + F_{ij}(c)\sigma_i\eta_j, \qquad (4.3)$$

where $i,j = 1,2,3$. Furthermore, each component function depends only on c and is independent of the Euler angles.

The transformations $\rho : z \mapsto \bar{z}$ and $w : R \mapsto \bar{R}$ map lumps to anti-lumps because each reverses the sign of the topological degree, and so both are not an isometry of the moduli space. In fact, the composite transformation $w \circ \rho$ is an isometry. Consider the isometry transformation $U \mapsto \bar{U}$, where $U \in SO(3)$ as a $SU(2)$ Möbius transformation and suppose again $c \mapsto c$. Then

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3) \mapsto (-\sigma_1,\sigma_2,-\sigma_3) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = (\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3) \mapsto (-\eta_1,\eta_2,-\eta_3). \qquad (4.4)$$

This isometry removes $B_i(c)$ and $E_i(c)$ for $i = 1,3$ from the general possible metric equation (4.3) because for $c \mapsto c$, we have that

$$\sigma \cdot dc \mapsto (-\sigma_1 dc, \sigma_2 dc, -\sigma_3 dc),$$
$$\eta \cdot dc \mapsto (-\eta_1 dc, \eta_2 dc, -\eta_3 dc).$$

The isometry (4.4) also results in $A_{12}(c) \equiv A_{21}(c) \equiv A_{23}(c) \equiv A_{32}(c) \equiv 0$ and $D_{12}(c) \equiv D_{21}(c) \equiv D_{23}(c) \equiv D_{32}(c) \equiv F_{12}(c) \equiv F_{21}(c) \equiv F_{13}(c) \equiv F_{31}(c) \equiv F_{23}(c) \equiv F_{32}(c) \equiv 0$. Furthermore, we can use the fact that $R \in \widetilde{Rat_3}$ has D_2 symmetry. Take a π rotation around the third axis

$$(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3) \mapsto (-\sigma_1,-\sigma_2,\sigma_3) \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3) \mapsto (-\eta_1,-\eta_2,\eta_3). \qquad (4.5)$$

This isometry (4.5) gives $A_{13}(c) \equiv A_{31}(c) \equiv D_{13}(c) \equiv D_{31}(c) \equiv F_{13}(c) \equiv F_{31}(c) \equiv B_2(c) \equiv E_2(c) \equiv 0$ because we have that

$$\sigma_1\sigma_3 \mapsto -\sigma_1\sigma_3, \quad \eta_1\eta_3 \mapsto -\eta_1\eta_3, \quad \sigma_2 dc \mapsto -\sigma_2 dc \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_2 dc \mapsto -\eta_2 dc.$$

Hence, they can be removed from the general possible metric equation (4.3).

Our next task is finding the remaining metric functions of c by taking the appropriate Euler angles. Firstly, consider the parametrisation of $SO(3)$ by

$$M(\alpha,\theta,\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\alpha}{2} + i\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}\cos\theta & i\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}(\cos\varphi + i\sin\varphi)\sin\theta \\ i\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}(\cos\varphi - i\sin\varphi)\sin\theta & \cos\frac{\alpha}{2} - i\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (4.6)$$

Take first the action $R \mapsto R_\star = e^{i\alpha}R$. That is, we consider $\theta = 0$ in $M(\alpha,\theta,\varphi)$. Then we have a metric of the form $\gamma = \gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(c)d^2\alpha$, where

$$D_{33}(c) = \gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(c) = \int_D \frac{|\partial_\alpha R_\star|^2}{(1+|R_\star|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2} = \int_D \frac{|R|^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

Now we can also evaluate $D_{11}(c)$ and $D_{22}(c)$. Suppose we are taking $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varphi = 0$ in $R \mapsto R_\star = MR$, where M is given by the matrix (4.6). Then, we have a metric of the form $\gamma = \gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(c)d^2\alpha$ where

$$D_{11}(c) = \gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(c) = \int_D \frac{|\partial_\alpha R_\star|^2}{(1+|R_\star|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2} = \frac{1}{4}\int_D \frac{|1-R^2|^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

Similarly, to find the expression for $D_{22}(c)$, take $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varphi = 0$ in our parametrisation of $SO(3)$ in (4.6). Then the metric is of the form $\gamma = \gamma_{\theta\theta}(c)d^2\theta$ where

$$D_{22}(c) = \gamma_{\theta\theta}(c) = \int_D \frac{|\partial_\theta R_\star|^2}{(1+|R_\star|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2} = \frac{1}{4}\int_D \frac{|1+R^2|^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

To find the functions $A_{ii}(c), i = 1, 2, 3$, we can follow the same argument in evaluating the $D_{ii}(c), i = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose we are considering the same parametrisation of $SO(3)$ as (4.6) and the $SO(3)$ action on z. For instance, let $z \mapsto e^{i\alpha}z$. Then $R \mapsto R_\star = R(e^{i\alpha}z)$. Therefore, we are now able to find $A_{33}(c)$ from the metric of the form $\gamma = \gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(c)d^2\alpha$ where

$$A_{33}(c) = \gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(c) = \int_D \frac{|\partial_\alpha R_\star|^2}{(1+|R_\star|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2} = \int_D \frac{|z|^2|\frac{dR}{dz}|^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

We can also find the other two functions $A_{11}(c)$ and $A_{22}(c)$ by taking the Euler angles ($\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varphi = 0$) and ($\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varphi = 0$), respectively. Considering the above Euler angles and from the metrics of the form $\gamma = \gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(c)d^2\alpha$ and $\gamma = \gamma_{\theta\theta}(c)d^2\theta$, we can find that

$$A_{11}(c) = \gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(c) = \frac{1}{4}\int_D \frac{|1-z^2|^2|\frac{dR}{dz}|^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}$$

and

$$A_{22}(c) = \gamma_{\theta\theta}(c) = \frac{1}{4}\int_D \frac{|1+z^2|^2|\frac{dR}{dz}|^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

Similarly, we can find the following metric functions $F_{ii}(c), i = 1, 2, 3$ as

$$F_{11}(c) = \frac{1}{4}\int_D \frac{\Re\big((1-z^2)(1-\bar{R}^2)\frac{dR}{dz}\big)}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2},$$
$$F_{22}(c) = \frac{1}{4}\int_D \frac{\Re\big((1+z^2)(1+\bar{R}^2)\frac{dR}{dz}\big)}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2},$$
$$F_{33}(c) = \int_D \frac{\Re\big(z\bar{R}\frac{dR}{dz}\big)}{(1+|R|^2)^2}\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

These expressions have also been derived in a different context in [13]. Finally, the function $C(c)$ is given by

$$C(c) = \int_D \frac{|\partial_c R|^2}{(1+|R|^2)^2} \frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

It can be shown that $C(c)$ is bounded from above by 2π, and this can also been seen in Fig. 3d. Hence, the metric on the 7-dimensional space of charge three lumps is given by

$$g = C(c)d^2c + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(A_{ii}(c)\sigma_i^2 + D_{ii}(c)\eta_i^2 + F_{ii}(c)\sigma_i\eta_i \right). \tag{4.7}$$

The coefficient functions of the metric (4.7) are displayed in Fig. 3. As $c = 0$ is the axial map, we find that $A_{11}(0) = A_{22}(0)$, $D_{11}(0) = D_{22}(0)$ and $F_{11}(0) = F_{22}(0) = 0$.

Proposition 3. *The moduli space $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ with respect to the metric g in (4.7) has finite volume.*

Proof. The volume on the moduli space $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ is given by

$$Vol(\widetilde{Rat_3}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{SO(3)\times SO(3)\times \mathbb{R}} dVol_g(\widetilde{Rat_3}), \tag{4.8}$$

where the factor of $\frac{1}{4}$ arises to avoid overcounting configurations that are related by D_2 symmetry, and $dVol_g(\widetilde{Rat_3})$ is the volume element on $(\widetilde{Rat_3}, g)$ given by

$$dVol_g(\widetilde{Rat_3}) = \sqrt{|\det(g_{ij})|}\sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3 \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \wedge dc. \tag{4.9}$$

Note that $Vol(SO(3)) = \int_{SO(3)} \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3 = 8\pi^2$ and similarly $\int_{SO(3)} \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 = 8\pi^2$. The determinant of g_{ij} is calculated as

$$\det(g_{ij}) = (A_{11}A_{22}A_{33}D_{11}D_{22}D_{33}C)(c). \tag{4.10}$$

We can also find the following inequalities for the metric functions which are

$$A_{22} \leq \frac{4}{(1+c^2)^2},$$

and both A_{11} and A_{33} are bounded above by $\frac{2\pi}{3} + \frac{2\pi^2\sqrt{3}}{243}$. Similarly, we can see that $D_{33} \leq \frac{\pi}{6}$, and D_{11} and D_{22} are both bounded above by $\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2\pi^2\sqrt{3}}{243}$. Hence, the determinant can be bounded via

$$\det(g_{ij}) \leq K \frac{4}{(1+c^2)^2},$$



(a) (b)

(c) (d)

Figure 3: (a) The metric functions $D_{11}(c)$, $D_{22}(c)$, $D_{33}(c)$. (b) The metric functions $A_{11}(c)$, $A_{22}(c)$, $A_{33}(c)$. (c) The metric functions $F_{11}(c)$, $F_{22}(c)$, $F_{33}(c)$. (d) The metric function $C(c)$.

where

$$K = \frac{\pi^2}{3}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \frac{2\pi^2\sqrt{3}}{243}\right)^2\left(\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2\pi^2\sqrt{3}}{243}\right)^2.$$

Note that $\int_0^1 \frac{2}{1+c^2}dc = \frac{\pi}{2}$ which implies the following integral

$$Vol(\widetilde{Rat_3}) = \frac{(8\pi^2)^2}{4}\int_0^1 \sqrt{|\det(g_{ij})|}\,dc \leq 8\pi^5\sqrt{K}.$$

This proves the volume of the moduli space of charge three lumps is finite. □

Proposition 4. *The moduli space* $(\widetilde{Rat_3}, g)$ *has a geodesic submanifold of finite length.*

Proof. Consider the submanifold of $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ given by R_c in (3.13) for $0 \leq c < 1$. As $\gamma_{cc} = C(c)$ is bounded then

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{\gamma_{cc}}\, dc < \infty,$$

and hence the length is finite. Therefore, the boundary of $(\widetilde{Rat_3}, g)$ at $c = 1$ lies at finite distance from the axial map ($c = 0$), so that the space $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ is geodesically incomplete. □

Finally, we consider rational functions of the form $R(z) = z^3$ and its symmetry orbit denoted by $\widetilde{Rat_3^0}$. The energy density is symmetric and its metric is equivalent to the metric on the moduli space $\{\xi z^n : \xi \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$ with $\xi = 1$ and $n = 3$ which was shown in Ref. [16]. Note that $\widetilde{Rat_3^0}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\widetilde{Rat_3}$. The general metric g_0 on $\widetilde{Rat_3^0}$ is given by

$$g_0 = f_i\sigma_i^2 + h_i\eta_i^2 + F_{33}\sigma_3\eta_3, \tag{4.11}$$
$$= f_1\sigma_1^2 + f_2\sigma_2^2 + f_3(\sigma_3 + 3\eta_3)^2 + h_1\eta_1^2 + h_2\eta_2^2, \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$f_1 = f_2 = \frac{2\pi^2\sqrt{3}}{27}, \quad h_1 = h_2 = \frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2\pi^2\sqrt{3}}{243},$$
$$f_3 = \frac{3\pi}{2} - \frac{4\pi^2\sqrt{3}}{27}, \quad h_3 = \frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{4\pi^2\sqrt{3}}{243}, \quad F_{33} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi^2}{81}.$$

Proposition 5. $\widetilde{Rat_3^0}$ *has a finite volume with* $Vol(\widetilde{Rat_3^0}) = \frac{\pi^{13/2}}{19683}\left(4\,\pi\,\sqrt{3} + 81\right)\sqrt{324 - 32\,\sqrt{3}}$.

Proof. Here to avoid over-counting, we divide the volume element by two since the space has an additional C_2 symmetry. As earlier in Proposition 3, we have that $Vol(SO(3)) = \int_{SO(3)} \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3 = 8\pi^2$. From matrix (4.6), $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 = \sin(\theta) d\phi d\theta$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, the integral is $\int_{SO(3)/SO(2)} \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 = 4\pi$. The volume is given by

$$Vol(\widetilde{Rat_3^0}) = \int \sqrt{|f_1 f_2 f_3 h_1 h_2|}\; \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3,$$

which can be evaluated as

$$Vol(\widetilde{Rat_3^0}) = 32\pi^3\sqrt{|f_1 f_2 f_3 h_1 h_2|} = \frac{4\pi^{13/2}}{19683}\left(4\,\pi\,\sqrt{3} + 81\right)\sqrt{324 - 32\,\sqrt{3}}.$$

□

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we studied the moduli space of lumps on real projective space $\widetilde{Rat_N}$ which is given by the space of rational maps of degree N subject to symmetry requirements [24]. We examined this moduli space using two different approaches. First we classified all possible cyclic symmetries of $\widetilde{Rat_N}$. Then we analysed $\widetilde{Rat_N}$ using the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

The symmetry requirements for $\widetilde{Rat_N}$, which from a geometric point of view mean that zeros and poles have to be opposite, greatly reduce the number of allowed cyclic symmetries C_n^k compared to general rational maps. The allowed symmetries are given in Lemma 2, and can be compared to Lemma 1, which states a similar results for general rational maps [8].

We then focused on the case $N = 3$. Imposing a C_2 symmetry automatically leads to a D_2 symmetric map, and a cyclic symmetry C_n for $n \geq 3$ results in an axially symmetric rational map with D_∞ symmetry. On $\widetilde{Rat_N}$, the symmetry group $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ of rotations and isorotations acts isometrically. Hence, imposing C_3 and C_2 results in a 5-dimensional orbit of the axial map and a 7-dimensional family of orbits with dihedral symmetry D_2, respectively. Note that the moduli space of charge one lumps on the two-sphere can also be parametrised as families of orbits depending on one parameter, where the orbits are generically five-dimension due to an axial symmetry [24]. Hence, the moduli space of charge one lumps on the two-sphere is 6-dimensional and provided the motivation for our work. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula also decomposes $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ into a 5-dimensional space of maps with two ramification points of index 3 and a 7-dimensional space with 4 ramification points of index 2, and we showed that these two points of view produce the same spaces. In fact, we constructed explicitly the Möbius transformations in space and target space that are needed to relate the two 7-dimensional spaces. In summary, the moduli space of charge three lumps is a 7-dimensional manifold which can be described as a family of symmetry orbits of D_2 symmetric maps R_c in (3.13) where $c = 0$ denotes the axially symmetric map. Care has to be taken to avoid overcounting since the $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ action produces configurations that are related by D_2 symmetry. Furthermore, as $c \to 1$ or $c \to \infty$ two lumps become increasingly spiky and collapse, as two poles of R_c cancel with two zeros. The symmetry requirement that poles and zeros have to be opposite results in a more complicated lump decay, and in particular, D_∞ symmetry prevents lump decay.

The dihedral symmetry of $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ allowed us to find explicit expressions of the L^2 metric [24] that is induced on the moduli space by the kinetic energy. It is rare that the moduli space metric can be evaluated for topological charge greater than one. Recently, the metric of hyperbolic vortices of charge two has been calculated in Ref. [1] generalising the formula obtained in [27]. We showed that the volume

of $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ is finite. Furthermore, we constructed a geodesic that connects the axial map to the boundary of $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ which has finite length. This shows that $\widetilde{Rat_3}$ is geodesically incomplete, as shown in Ref. [24]. We also evaluated the volume of the space of axially symmetric maps.

By imposing the cyclic symmetry C_n^k for $0 < k < n$ we identified some interesting 7-dimensional submanifolds with dihedral symmetry D_n, which have the same structure as the moduli space of $N = 3$ lumps. These spaces are very similar to the moduli spaces of vortex polygons in [11] and to the cyclically symmetric scattering of monopoles in [6]. It is possible to evaluate the metric of submanifolds with D_n symmetry in a similar way to the metric of $\widetilde{Rat_3}$. When $N = 5$ the symmetry approach gives the following possible symmetries: D_∞, O, D_4, D_3, D_2 and C_2 and no symmetry. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula provides an alternative decomposition into 5 different spaces, but now there is no obvious correspondence between the two approaches apart from the axially symmetric case. How these spaces are related is an interesting problem for further study.

Acknowledgment

SK would like to thank Martin Speight for suggesting to look into this problem and further useful discussions. SK is grateful to Jim Shank for stimulating discussions with regards to the geometry of rational maps. SK also would like to thank Nick Manton for an interesting discussion about zeros of Wronskians and their relation to monopole moduli spaces. SK acknowledges the EPSRC for the grant EP/I034491/1. AM would like to thank Mareike Haberichter for assisting with Matlab plots and useful discussions. AM acknowledges SMSAS at the University of Kent for a PhD studentship.

A Relationship between the rational maps $R_a(z)$ and $R_c(z)$

In this appendix, we show in detail how to transform the rational map $R_c(z)$ in (3.13) into $R_a(z)$ in (3.18) by constructing the relevant Möbius transformations in space and target space. Thereby, we prove explicitly that these two maps are in the same orbit of the symmetry group, and hence both generate the moduli space $\widetilde{Rat_3}$. As a starting point, we calculate the zeros of dR/dz, that is the zeros of the Wronskian $W = p'q - pq'$, where $R = p/q$. These zeros are invariant under rotations in target space. For $R_a(z)$, the zeros of the Wronskian $W_a(z)$ are at 0, ∞ and

$$z_\pm = \frac{a^2 - 3 \pm \sqrt{a^4 + 10a^2 + 9}}{4a}.$$

Note that as a is increased from 0 to ∞, the zero z_+ moves along the positive real axis from 0 to ∞ whereas the zero z_- moves along the negative real axis from $-\infty$ to 0.

Before calculating the Wronskian W_c for R_c, it is convenient to make a change of variables. For $c \in (0,1)$ we define

$$u = \frac{3}{2c} - \frac{c}{2}, \tag{A.1}$$

which is a monotonously decreasing function of c, taking values in $(1,\infty)$. Hence this map is a bijection between $(0,1)$ and $(1,\infty)$ and has the inverse

$$c = -u + \sqrt{u^2+3}. \tag{A.2}$$

Then the zeros of W_c are given in term of u by

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= i\sqrt{u-\sqrt{u^2-1}}, & z_2 &= -i\sqrt{u-\sqrt{u^2-1}}, \\ z_3 &= i\sqrt{u+\sqrt{u^2-1}}, & z_4 &= -i\sqrt{u+\sqrt{u^2-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u > 1$ the zeros (A.3) are purely imaginary. For $u \to \infty$ the zeros z_1 and z_2 tend to 0, whereas the zeros z_3 and z_4 tend to $\pm i\infty$. Hence, as $u \to \infty$ the corresponding rational map tends to the axial map. We now move the zero z_1 to 0 via the Möbius transformation

$$M_1(z) = \frac{z+z_1}{z_1 z + 1},$$

which corresponds to a rotation around the x_1 axis in space. This moves the zeros of the Wronskian to 0, ∞ and to two imaginary zeros. Hence, we rotate by $\pi/2$ around the x_3 axis,

$$M_2(z) = -iz,$$

which leaves the zeros of the Wronskian at 0 and ∞ fixed but moves the remaining to zeros $Z_\pm$ onto the real axis. The equation for the zeros of the corresponding Wronskian can be simplified to

$$z\left(z^2 + \frac{u-3}{\sqrt{2(u-1)}}z - 1\right) = 0,$$

which has zeros at 0, ∞ and

$$Z_+ = \sqrt{\frac{2}{u-1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad Z_- = -\sqrt{\frac{u-1}{2}}.$$

Note that Z_+ travels along the real axis from 0 to ∞ as u decreases. By equating the two positive roots Z_+ and z_+ we can express a in terms of u as

$$a = \frac{3 - u + \sqrt{u^2 + 3}}{\sqrt{2(u-1)}} \tag{A.3}$$

or alternatively,

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{c(c+3)}{1-c}}. \tag{A.4}$$

This relates the parameters a and c of the rational map, and as expected, a moves along the real axis from 0 to ∞ as c increase from 0 to 1 (or u decreases from ∞ to 1).

In order to relate the rational maps $R_c\left(M_2\left(M_1\left(z\right)\right)\right)$ and $R_a(z)$ we need to perform a Möbius transformation in target space. We do this again in two steps. It can be shown that $R_{c,0} = R_c\left(M_2\left(M_1\left(0\right)\right)\right)$ is purely imaginary. Therefore, the Möbius transformation $\tilde{M}_1$ given by

$$\tilde{M}_1\left(R_c\left(M_2\left(M_1\left(z\right)\right)\right)\right) = \frac{R_c\left(M_2\left(M_1\left(z\right)\right)\right) - R_{c,0}}{-R_{c,0}R_c\left(M_2\left(M_1\left(z\right)\right)\right) + 1}$$

is a rotation around the x_1 axis in target space which sets the image of the critical point at $z = 0$ to 0, namely $\tilde{M}_1\left(R_c\left(M_2(M_1(0))\right) = 0$. However, now the value of this map is purely imaginary for $0 < c < 1$ and real z. So, we need the further Möbius transformation $\tilde{M}_2$ given by

$$\tilde{M}_2\left(\tilde{M}_1\left(R_c\left(M_2\left(M_1\left(z\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) = -i\left(\tilde{M}_1\left(R_c\left(M_2\left(M_1\left(z\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)$$

which is a $\pi/2$ rotation around the x_3 axis in target space. This brings the map in the form of equation (3.18) where a is given in terms of c by equation (A.4).

References

[1] L. S. Alqahtani and J. M. Speight, "Ricci magnetic geodesic motion of vortices and lumps," arXiv:1410.4698 [math-ph], *to appear in the Journal of Geometry and Physics.*

[2] R. Bott and L. W. Tu, "Differential forms in algebraic topology," Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[3] R. J. Cova and W. J. Zakrzewski, "Scattering of periodic solitons," Rev. Mex. Fis. **50** (2005) 527.

[4] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, "On the Construction of Harmonic and Holomorphic Maps between Surfaces," Math. Ann. **252** (1980) 27.

[5] W. Fulton and R. Weiss, "Algebraic Curves: an introduction to algebraic geometry," volume 30. Benjamin New York, 1969.

[6] N. J. Hitchin, N. S. Manton and M. K. Murray, "Symmetric monopoles," Nonlinearity **8** (1995) 661.

[7] C. J. Houghton, N. S. Manton and P. M. Sutcliffe, "Rational maps, monopoles and Skyrmions," Nucl. Phys. B **510** (1998) 507.

[8] S. Krusch, "Finkelstein-Rubinstein constraints for the Skyrme model with pion masses," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **462** (2006) 2001.

[9] S. Krusch, "Homotopy of rational maps and the quantization of skyrmions," Annals Phys. **304** (2003) 103.

[10] S. Krusch and J. M. Speight, "Quantum lump dynamics on the two-sphere," Commun. Math. Phys. **322** (2013) 95.

[11] S. Krusch and J. M. Speight, "Exact moduli space metrics for hyperbolic vortices," J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 022304.

[12] R. A. Leese, "Low-energy Scattering of Solitons in the CP**1 Model," Nucl. Phys. B **344** (1990) 33.

[13] O. V. Manko, N. S. Manton and S. W. Wood, "Light nuclei as quantized skyrmions," Phys. Rev. C **76** (2007) 055203.

[14] N. S. Manton and P. Sutcliffe, Topological solitons, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[15] W. S. Massey, A Basic Course in Algebraic Toplogy, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[16] J. A. McGlade and J. M. Speight, "Slow equivariant lump dynamics on the two sphere," Nonlinearity **19** (2006) 441.

[17] A. A. Muhamed, "Moduli Spaces of Topological Solitons", PhD thesis, University of Kent, 2015.

[18] A. M. Polyakov and A. A. Belavin, "Metastable States of Two-Dimensional Isotropic Ferromagnets," JETP Lett. **22** (1975) 245 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **22** (1975) 503].

[19] P. J. Ruback, "σ Model Solitons and Their Moduli Space Metrics," Commun. Math. Phys. **116** (1988) 645.

[20] L. A. Sadun and J. M. Speight, "Geodesic incompleteness in the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model on a compact Riemann surface," Lett. Math. Phys. **43** (1998) 329.

[21] T. M. Samols, "Vortex scattering," Commun. Math. Phys. **145** (1992) 149.

[22] G. Segal, "The Topology of Spaces of Rational Functions," Acta. Math. **143** (1979) 39.

[23] T. H. R. Skyrme, "A nonlinear field theory, " Proc.Roy. Soc. A260 127.

[24] J. M. Speight, "The L^2 geometry of spaces of harmonic maps $S^2 \to S^2$ and $\mathbb{R}P^2 \to \mathbb{R}P^2$," J. Geom. Phys. **47** (2003) 343.

[25] J. M. Speight, "Lump dynamics in the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ model on the torus," Commun. Math. Phys. **194** (1998) 513.

[26] J. M. Speight, "Low-energy dynamics of a $\mathbb{C}P^1$ lump on the sphere," J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 796.

[27] I. A. B. Strachan, "Low velocity scattering of vortices in a modified Abelian Higgs model," J. Math. Phys. **33** (1992) 102.

[28] R. S. Ward, "Slowly Moving Lumps in the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ Model in (2+1)-dimensions," Phys. Lett. B **158** (1985) 424.